







## Hong Kong Zero Carbon Partnership QUESTIONNAIRE SURVEY

## AIM OF THE QUESTIONNAIRE SURVEY

This questionnaire survey is part of the research project funded by the Construction Industry Council (CIC), which is entitled "Hong Kong Zero Carbon Partnership for Enhancing Public and Stakeholder Engagement'. The survey aims to identify building professionals' understanding, attitudes and behaviours with respect to building towards zero carbon in Hong Kong.

## HOW TO COMPLETE AND RETURN THE QUESTIONNIARE

This questionnaire is designed to be completed in about 10 minutes. We would be most grateful if you could kindly return the completed questionnaire by email or by post using the information below.

Dr Wei Pan, Department of Civil Engineering, The University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam, Hong Kong

Email: wpan@hku.hk

Alternatively, you may complete the on-line version of this questionnaire, which is available at: <a href="http://goo.gl/forms/xElwsYTcfL">http://goo.gl/forms/xElwsYTcfL</a>.

All responses will be treated in strict confidentiality with identity protected.

I INFORMATION	OF PARTICIPANT	S					
1a. Please provide	your email if you would	d like to recei	ve a summary	report of the res	earch findings.		
1 <b>b</b> . Please specify	your affiliated professi	onal body/ co	mpany:				<del></del>
2. Your primary are	a of practice (please tid	k one box only	y)				
☐ Engineer ☐ S	Surveyor □ Architect	☐ Energy Co	onsultant □R	esearch/Education	n 🗆 Developei	r □ Contractor	□ Government
☐ Manufacturer &	Supplier	lease specify)					
3. Number of years	working in the buildin	g sector in Ho	ong Kong				
□0-5 □6-9 □	10-19 $\square$ 20 and above						
4. Are you a certifie	ed green building profe	ssional?					
□BEAM Pro □LE	ED AP	se specify)		□No			
5. How many BEAN	I Plus registered or LE	ED registered	l buildina proie	ects have vou be	en involved in?	<b>)</b>	
	•			,			
	DING/ KNOWLEDG	E	CADRON R	HIII DINGS			
					11.11	И О	
6. How important d	o you think the followi	ng strategies	are to achievir	ng zero carbon b Of little		Kong?	Vern
Strategy (Tick or	ne option for each row	)	important	importance	Somewhat important	Important	Very important
(a) On-site renewable energy generation;							
(b) Off-site renewable energy generation, but directly connected to the building;							
	(c) Accredited renewable energy, i.e. contributed to but not directly connected to the building;						
(d) Carbon offs	(d) Carbon offsetting and Carbon Capture &						
Storage (CCS);	Storage (CCS); (e) Reduce energy demand by user behavioral		_	_	_	<del></del>	
changes;	changes;						
fabric;	y loss through efficien	_					
&Evaluation system	gy efficiency of Monito ems;	_					
(h) Improve energ	(h) Improve energy efficiency of white goods(large electrical appliances used domestically);						
(i) Reduce energy loss in transmission;							
(j) Improve efficiency in energy production and supply.							
	appraise the statement		-	_		_	-
•	ited energy (space hea	ting, cooling,	ventilation, etc	c.), but not unreg	julated energy	(cooking, washi	ng and electronic
appliances)"?							
☐ Strongly disagree	⊔ Disagree	∟ Neutral	∐ Agree	∟ Strongly	, agree		
on ongry disagree	Disayiee	i veuli ai	Ayıee	Suurigiy	ayıcc		









o. Willer allit of eller	gy balance do you i	illiik Siloulu be	useu ioi illea	suring bununny e	inergy use and	Carbon Ennissio	113:	
End-use energy	Delivered energy	Primary energ	y Not sure					
9. Which indicator do	o you think should b	e used for eva	luating buildin	g energy perforn	nance? (Please	tick all related)		
kWh/m²/yr	kgCO <sub>2</sub> /m <sup>2</sup> /yr	kWh/HH/yr	kW	W	No	t sure		
10. Which time perio	d do you think shou	ıld be adopted	for calculating	building energy	use and carbo	n emissions?		
Monthly	Seasonally	Annually	Life cycle	Not sure	e			
11. How would you a	ppraise the stateme	ent: "Although	it is useful to a	dopt the life cyc	le approach to	reducing carbor	emissions, for	ŕ
achieving 'zero carb	on', it is necessary t	o focus on the	operation stag	ge of the building	g"?			
Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly	agree			
12. Do you think the	renewable energy g	enerated on si	te should be co	onnected with gr	id?			
Yes	No	Not sure						
13. How important or	r relevant do you thi	nk the followin	g options of re	enewable energy	are to deliveri	ng buildings tow	ards zero carbo	n
in Hong Kong?	•		•	•				
Options (Tick one option for each row)			Not important or relevant	Of little importance or relevance	Somewhat important or relevant	Important or relevant	Very important or relevant	
	in the building's foo	tprint (e.g.						
(b) on-site generation	ation from on-site	renewables						-
( e.g. on-site solar and wind turbines requiring no								
source transport); (c) on-site generation from off-site renewables								-
(e.g. biomass requiring source transport);								
(d) off-site generation (i.e. investment in off-site technologies: e.g. wind farm);								
(e) off-site supply (purchase of green energy)								
III ATTITUDE/ VA	LUE ON ZERO C	ARBON BUI	LDINGS		<u>'</u>		•	
14. How would you d	lescribe the willingn	ess of develop	ers in Hong Ko	ong to deliver bu	ildings toward	s zero carbon?		
Very weak	Weak	Neutral	Strong	Very stro	ong			
15. How would you e	valuate the influence	e of zero carbo	on living on rea	alizing zero carbo	on buildings?			
Very weak	Weak	Neutral	Strong	Very strong				
16. How would you r	ate the public's awa	reness on zero	carbon buildi	ngs in Hong Kon	ıg?			
Very poor	Poor	Neutral	Good	Very god	od			
17. How would you a	ppraise the stateme	ent: "There is e	nough legislati	ion in Hong Kon	g to support th	e delivery of bui	Idings towards	
zero carbon"?								
Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree				
18. How would you a	ppraise the stateme	ent: "Hong Kon	g sets high sta	indards for energ	gy efficiency o	f different produc	cts/systems"?	
Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly	agree			
19. How would you a						g Kong may be	hindered by the	 ;
unique geographic c				•		·	•	
Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree				









## 20. How significant would you think the following barriers are to delivering buildings towards zero carbon in Hong Kong?

Barriers (Tick one	option for each	row)	Not significant	Of little significance	Somewhat significant	Significant	Very significant
(a) Lack of custom	ner recognition;						
(b) Lack of authoritative energy performance data;							
(c) Higher initial cost;							
(d) Uncertain long	-term economic r	eturn;					
(e) Lack of govern	ment policy supp	oort;					
(f) Lack of public a building	wareness of zero	o carbon					
(g) Lack of skilled carbon building		cting zero					
(h) Insufficient cap		3;					
(i) Lack of low/zero	carbon technolo	ogies.					
21. How significant v			ects are to succ	essfully deliveri	ng zero carbon	buildinas?	
	•	<u> </u>	Not	Of little	Somewhat	Significant	Very
Aspects (Tick one option for each row)			significant	significance	significant		significant
(a) technical feasibility							
(b) commercial viability							
(c) socio-cultural preference							
(d) policy and regulatory compatibility							
(e) supply chain co							
IV BEHAVIORS/						' ' 11	110
22. How would you a	appraise the state	ement: "Low/zero	carbon living s	style is adopted i	oy generai pubi	ic in Hong Kong	)" <b>?</b>
Strongly disagree	□ Disagree	□ Neutral	☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐				
23. How often do yo						-2	
Z3. How often do yo	u apply the know	leage of low/zero		ig technologies i	n your practice	Sf	
Never	Rarely	Sometimes	□ Often	⊢ Always			
24. How many zero o	•			een involved in F	long Kong or e	Isewhere?	
0	1 2-4 5 and above						
25. How often do yo	u refer to Building	gs Energy Efficie	ncy Ordinance	and Building En	ergy Code (BE	C) 2012 Edition i	n your practices
					•	•	
Never or not aware	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always			
26. How often do yo Buildings) in your p		e Note APP 156 (D	Design and Con	struction Requi	rements for En	ergy Efficiency o	f Residential
Never or not aware	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always			
27. How would you i	rate the feasibility	of achieving net	- zero carbon e	missions in high	n-rise buildings	in Hong Kong?	
					-		
Highly impossible Impossible Not sure			Possible	Highly p	oossible		
Highly impossible							
Highly impossible Please provide your	•						
	•						

-END OF THE QUESTIONNAIRE-